## EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Permit #: M	/003/016	Violation # <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
SERIOUSN	<u>ESS</u>	
1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited reference list of event below and remember that <b>the event below</b> . Mark and explain each event.	
	<ul> <li>a. Activity outside the approved permit area.</li> <li>b. Injury to the public (public safety).</li> <li>c. Damage to property.</li> <li>d. Conducting activities without appropriate appropr</li></ul>	
	The Operator has expanded operations beyond the 5-acrethout first obtaining a Large Mining Operation permit.	e limit for a small mining

2. Has the event or damage occurred? <u>Yes</u>
If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: <u>The inspection of this site on June 14, 2005 found approximately 1.5 acres of mining related disturbance outside the previously approved area.</u> A previously reclaimed road <u>has also been re-opened.</u>

- 3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? Yes4.
- If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

**Event Violation Inspector's Statement** 

NOV/CO#	MC-05-01-07(1)
Violation #	1 of 1

Explanation: <u>approximately 1.5 acres that was not permited has been disturbed. approximately 0.25 acres of previously reclaimed road has been re-opened</u>

B. <u>DEG</u>	REE OF FAULT (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss)
	Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.
Explanation:	
	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.
Explanation: The operator permitted are	The quarry was being operated on a contract basis by Dove Creek Quarries, Inc did not keep close tabs on the contractor to assure he was staying within the a.
	If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, th operator did to correct it prior to being cited.
Explanation:	
	Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?
Explanation:	
	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.
Explanation:	
W If	as any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? yes explain.
Explanation:	

August 9, 2005

Date

## GOOD

Lynn Kunzler

Authorized Representative

GOOD FAI	III	
1.	In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.	
Expla	nnation:	
2.	Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achiev compliance.	
Expla	anation:	
3.	Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? <u>yes</u> , explain.	
Expla Large Minin	Abatement requires the operator submit an amendment to the current g Notice	
	, 11	

O:\M003-BoxElder\M0030016-DoveCreek\non-compliance\ins-stat-mc050107-1-1.doc